
Eagle Mountain-Saginaw Independent School District RTU Replacement at Creekview MS, Saginaw HS, and Hafley Dev Center RFCSP #2223-001-0

SELECTION RANKING CREEKVIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL

RANKING CRITERIA	WEIGHTED VALUE	CENTURY MECHANICAL CONTRACTORS	NTD MECHANICAL	DMI CORP	INFINITY CONTRACTORS INTERNATIONAL	JOHN COOK & ASSOCIATES	TDINDUSTRIES
BASE PROPOSAL		\$ 2,541,000.00	\$ 3,142,400.00	\$3,399,028	\$ 3,187,133.00	\$ 4,200,000.00	
Proposed Construction Contract Amount CMS	50.0	50	40.40	37.35	39.85	30.25	
Proposed Construction Contract Time	5.0	2	5	1.0	3	0	
TAB 2 - Schedule	6.0	6	6	6.0	6	0	
TAB 3 - Key Project Personnel	10.0	10	10	9.0	8	5	
TAB 4 - Past Relationship/History with District	10.0	10	6	0.0	0	0	
TAB 5 - Project Experience	10.0	10	10	10.0	4	10	
TAB 6 - Financial Background	5.0	5	5	5.0	0	5	
TAB 7 - Claims and Suits	2.0	2	2	2.0	2	0	
TAB 8 - Quality Control Program	2.0	2	2	2.0	2	0	
TOTAL OF WEIGHTED VALUE	100.0	97	86.4	72.35	65	50	



Eagle Mountain-Saginaw Independent School District RTU Replacement at Creekview MS, Saginaw HS, and Hafley Dev Center RFCSP #2223-001-0

SELECTION RANKING SAGINAW HIGH SCHOOL AND HAFLEY DEVELOPMENT CENTER

RANKING CRITERIA	WEIGHTED VALUE	DMI CORP	NTD MECHANICAL	INFINITY CONTRACTORS INTERNATIONAL LTD.	JOHN COOK & ASSOCIATES	TDINDUSTRIES	CENTURY MECHANICAL CONTRACTORS
BASE PROPOSAL SHS		\$ 660,006.00	\$ 719,700.00	\$ 595,165.00	\$ 1,300,000.00		No bid
BASE PROPOSAL HDC		\$ 179,451.00	\$ 335,200.00	\$ 209,030.00	\$ 503,000.00		No bid
TOTAL SHS AND HDC		\$ 839,457.00	\$ 1,054,900.00	\$ 804,195.00	\$ 1,803,000.00		No bid
Proposed Construction Contract Amount SHS & HDC	50.0	47.9	38.12	50	22.3		
Proposed Construction Contract Time	5.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	0.0		
TAB 2 - Schedule	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	0.0		
TAB 3 - Key Project Personnel	10.0	9.0	10.0	8.0	5.0		
TAB 4 - Past Relationship/History with District	10.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0		
TAB 5 - Project Experience	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	10.0		
TAB 6 - Financial Background	5.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	5.0		
TAB 7 - Claims and Suits	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0		
TAB 8 - Quality Control Program	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0		
TOTAL OF WEIGHTED VALUE	100.0	85.9	84.12	79.0	42.3		

Meets Specifications and selected

Meets specifications and NOT selected
Incomplete or unacceptable bid